

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

-CNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS - P.O. Box:1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

• •				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/478,131	01/05/2000	Joseph Nardozza	MAT-2C2B	1285
75	90 04/12/2005	·	EXAM	INER
KOLISCH HARTWELL DICKINSON			RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
MCCORMACK & HEUSER			400000	0.000000000
200 PACIFIC B	UILDING		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
520 SOUTH WEST YAMHILL STREET			1731	
PORTLAND, (OR 97204			_

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/478,131	NARDOZZA ET A	L.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Umakant K. Rajguru	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ac	ldress
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		<u>=</u>	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filir applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1 application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application in Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires from the mailing 	ng a Notice of Appeal. To avoid and amendment, affidavit, or opeal (with appeal fee) in combe with 37 CFR 1.114. The re	id abandonment of this ap other evidence, which pla pliance with 37 CFR 41.3	ices the 1; or (3) a
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad		rth in the final rejection, whiche	ever is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	han SIX MONTHS from the mailings). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montle earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appendix of the content of the c	and the corresponding amount of statutory period for reply originally s hs after the mailing date of the final	the fee. The appropriate extenset in the final Office action; or (I rejection, even if timely filed, r	sion fee under 37 2) as set forth in (b) nay reduce any
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	41.37 must be filed within two CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dism	o months of the date of filinissal of the appeal. Since	ng the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further composed (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (s low);	ee NOTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).		·	·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ovided below or appended.) ⊠ Will be entered and a	n explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 & 11-25.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			İ
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	but before or on the date of fil and sufficient reasons why the	ing a Notice of Appeal will affidavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde ary and was not earlier preser	r appeal and/or appellant t nted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d	fails to provide a)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims	after entry is below or atta	ached.
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.			/ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other:). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' argument that "Anton discloses a paste composite material" is not agreed with. Anton discloses a composite material or a composition which can used in the form of a paste. Paste denotes nothing more than a physical form of the composite.. As mentioned in the final rejection of 11/04/2004, the final mixture is granular; that does not necessarily mean the every component of that mixture has to be granular. Also even if one or more components happen to non-granular the final mixture can be made in granular form.

Applicants' argument that Cottrell and Brander are non-analogous art to the present application is true; they do not have to be analogous to present application. They have to be combinable with Anton.

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiles
Technology Center 1700